

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/675,071	TRAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Myles D. Robinson	2625	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Myles D. Robinson.

(2) Susan Mizer.

**Date of Interview:** 18 September 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

2

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner proposed a minor amendment to better match the dependent claim with the statutory category of its parent claim.*

*The Applicant agreed with the proposed amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Myles D. Robinson/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

**Status of Application:** Request for Continued Examination

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 4:15PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)